1	BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP DONN P. PICKETT (SBN 72257)	Robert A. Mittelstaedt (SBN 060359) Jason McDonell (SBN 115084)
2	GEOFFREY M. HOWARD (SBN 157468)	Elaine Wallace (SBN 197882)
3	HOLLY A. HOUSE (SBN 136045) ZACHARY J. ALINDER (SBN 209009)	JONES DAY 555 California Street, 26 th Floor
4	BREE HANN (SBN 215695)	San Francisco, CA 94104
4	Three Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111-4067	Telephone: (415) 626–3939 Facsimile: (415) 875–5700
5	Telephone: (415) 393-2000	ramittelstaedt@jonesday.com
_	Facsimile: (415) 393-2286	jmcdonell@jonesday.com
6	donn.pickett@bingham.com	ewallace@jonesday.com
7	geoff.howard@bingham.com holly.house@bingham.com	Tharan Gregory Lanier (SBN 138784)
,	zachary.alinder@bingham.com	Jane L. Froyd (SBN 220776)
8	bree.hann@bingham.com	JONES DAY
•		1755 Embarcadero Road
9	BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP	Palo Alto, CA 94303
10	DAVID BOIES (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) 333 Main Street	Telephone: (650) 739–3939 Facsimile: (650) 739–3900
10	Armonk, NY 10504	tglanier@jonesday.com
11	Telephone: (914) 749-8200	jfroyd@jonesday.com
4.6	Facsimile: (914) 749-8300	
12	dboies@bsfllp.com	Scott W. Cowan (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>)
13	STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN (SBN 144177) 1999 Harrison St., Suite 900	Joshua L. Fuchs (Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) JONES DAY
10	Oakland, CA 94612	717 Texas, Suite 3300
14	Telephone: (510) 874-1000	Houston, TX 77002
	Facsimile: (510) 874-1460	Telephone: (832) 239–3939
15	sholtzman@bsfllp.com	Facsimile: (832) 239–3600
16	DODIANI DALEM (CDNI 120040)	swcowan@jonesday.com jlfuchs@jonesday.com
10	DORIAN DALEY (SBN 129049) JENNIFER GLOSS (SBN 154227)	Jituchs(w)onesday.com
17	500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5op7	Attorneys for Defendants
	Redwood City, CA 94070	SAP AG, SAP AMERICA, INC., and
18	Telephone: (650) 506-4846	TOMORROWNOW, INC.
19	Facsimile: (650) 506-7114	
1,	dorian.daley@oracle.com jennifer.gloss@oracle.com	
20	Jemmer.gross@oracie.com	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
21	Oracle USA, Inc. et al.	
22	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
	NORTHERN DISTR	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
23	OAKLAN	D DIVISION
24	ORACLE USA, INC., et al.,	No. 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)
25		AMENDED COLLEGE ACTION AND ASSESSMENT ASSESS
25	Plaintiffs,	AMENDED TRIAL STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER NO. 1
26	V.	REGARDING LIABILITY, DISMISSAL
	SAP AG, et al.,	OF CLAIMS, PRESERVATION OF
2 7	D 0 1	DEFENSES, AND OBJECTIONS TO
28	Defendants.	EVIDENCE AT TRIAL
20		

TRIAL STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER NO. 1

1	Pursuant to Local Rule 7-12, Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International			
2	Corporation and Siebel Systems, Inc. ("Plaintiffs" or "Oracle") and Defendants TomorrowNow,			
3	Inc. ("TN"), SAP AG, and SAP America, Inc. ("SAP"; and, together with TN, "Defendants";			
4	and, all together with Oracle, the "Parties"), jointly submit this Trial Stipulation and [Proposed]			
5	Order regarding liability, dismissal of certain claims, the preservation of certain defenses,			
6	objections to evidence at trial, and the length of the Parties' evidentiary presentations and			
7	arguments.			
8	The Parties agree that the terms of this stipulation shall not be binding or effective			
9	unless and until the Court accepts the stipulated terms in their entirety. The Parties reserve the			
10	right to withdraw agreement to any or all terms if the Court chooses not to accept any term.			
11	NOW, THEREFORE, THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AND AGREE,			
12	through their respective counsel of record, as follows:			
13	TRIAL STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER			
14	1. TN stipulates to all liability on all claims (preserving no defenses,			
15	including any raised on summary judgment but retaining all defenses to damages as described in			
16	paragraph 5 below). TN therefore stipulates to all liability on Oracle's claims for copyright			
17	infringement, violations of the Federal Computer Fraud and Abuse Act and California's			
18	Computer Data Access and Fraud Act, breach of contract, intentional interference, negligent			
19	interference, unfair competition, trespass to chattels, unjust enrichment/restitution and an			
20	accounting. SAP will not contend that Oracle has failed to prove indirect liability as to SAP due			
21	to a failure of proof against TN.			
22	2. SAP stipulates to vicarious liability on the copyright claims against TN in			
23	their entirety (preserving no defenses, including any raised on summary judgment, but retaining			
24	all defenses as to damages as described in paragraph 5 below) and agrees to guarantee payment			
25	of any judgment awarded against TN or SAP.			
26	3. Oracle dismisses with prejudice all claims against SAP except for indirect			
27	copyright infringement and except as to any right to appeal any rulings made by the trial court			
28	(e.g., saved development costs as a basis for unjust enrichment), which Oracle preserves for all 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)			

1	purposes. Oracle therefore retains claims against SAP for contributory copyright infringement,				
2	to which SAP retains all pleaded defenses, including as described in paragraph 5 below, at the				
3	trial scheduled for November 1, 2010.				
4	4. The Parties envision that the jury will be instructed, and the jury verdict				
5	form will reflect, that the Parties have stipulated to liability for certain claims against TN and				
6	SAP as set forth in paragraphs 1 and 2 above (which the parties may refer to at any time during				
7	trial). Subject only to the trial time limits set forth in paragraph 8 below, the Parties may present				
8	evidence at trial related to the stipulated claims as background or context for the stipulated				
9	claims, and/or as relevant to damages or other claims and defenses not stipulated to or dismissed				
10	by the Parties. The Parties will not object to evidence related to the stipulated claims pursuant to				
11	Federal Rules of Evidence 401-403 (including that the evidence is irrelevant, cumulative, unduly				
12	time consuming or prejudicial) on grounds that the evidence relates to the stipulated claims.				
13	Oracle may not argue to the Court, jury or public that SAP is in fact liable on claims that Oracle				
14	agrees to dismiss under paragraph 3, or not pursue under paragraph 7, and SAP may not argue to				
15	the Court, jury or public that either (i) TN in fact is not liable on claims stipulated under				
16	paragraph 1, or (ii) SAP in fact is not liable on the basis of vicarious liability as stipulated under				
17	paragraph 2.				
18	5. SAP and TN retain all defenses to the alleged causation, fact or amount of				
19	or entitlement to disgorgement, actual or punitive damages or any other legal or equitable				
20	remedy. For example, on some claim as to which TN had elected not to contest liability, it may				
21	still argue that a particular remedy is not available.				
22	6. The Parties preserve their rights to appeal any judgment against them				
23	except as to issues or claims to which they have stipulated.				
24	7. The jury is to consider only those damages available under the Copyright				
25	Act.				
26	8. The length of trial is shortened to 36 hours per side for presentation of				
27	testimony and argument to the jury.				
28	9. Defendants agree to entry of an injunction enjoining TN from: 2 07-CV-01658 PJH (EDL)				

(1) infringing Oracle's copyrights in Oracle's PeopleSoft-branded enterprise application		
software, J.D. Edwards-branded enterprise application software, Siebel-branded enterprise		
application software, and Oracle's Relational Database Management System software, and		
related support products; (2) accessing any password-protected Oracle website in any way not		
compliant with the Terms of Use	e for that webs	site or with the customer license associated with
the log-in credential being used; (3) using any automated downloading program (including Titan		
spiders, bots, crawlers and scrapers) on any Oracle website; and (4) interfering with Oracle's		
customers by offering support fo	r their Oracle	e products using software or downloads obtained
from a different customer genera	ally directed a	at the stipulated conduct.
10. The Partie	es reach this st	stipulation for purposes of this action only, and this
stipulation has no force or effect	in any other p	proceeding or jurisdiction.
IT IS SO STIPU	LATED.	
DATED: September 9, 2010		BINGHAM McCUTCHEN LLP
		By: /s/ Geoffrey M. Howard
		Geoffrey M. Howard Attorneys for Plaintiffs Oracle USA, Inc., Oracle International Corporation and Siebel Systems, Inc.
In accordance wit	h General Or	rder No. 45, Rule X, the above signatory attests that
concurrence in the filing of this	document has	s been obtained from the signatory below.
DATED: September 9, 2010		JONES DAY
		By: /s/ Jason McDonell
		Jason McDonell
		Attorneys for Defendants SAP AG, SAP America, Inc., and TomorrowNow, Inc.
PURSUANT TO STIPULATION	ON, IT IS SO	O ORDERED.
Dated: November 2	_, 2010 _	Phyllis IT IS SO ORDERED United States Indee Phyllis J. Hamilton
		3 CV-01658 PJH (EDL)

PRE-TRIAL STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER NO. 1